1 2 2 3 3 4 4	KAREN HO (State Bar No. 274027) ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP LLP 9401 Wilshire Boulevard, Ninth Floor Beverly Hills, California 90212-2974 Telephone: (310) 273-6333 Facsimile: (310) 859-2325 Email: kho@ecjlaw.com	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 12/04/2019 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: S. Barrera Deputy		
Electronically Received 10/18/2019 02:59 PM 11 01 6 8 2 9 5 5 7	CYNTHIA J. LARSEN (State Bar No. 123994) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 447-9200 Facsimile: (916) 329-4900 Email: clarsen@orrick.com	EXEMPT from filing fees per Govt. Code § 6103		
Electronically 01	Attorneys for Ricardo Lara, Insurance Commis of the State of California in his Capacity as Rehabilitator/ Liquidator of Executive Life Inst Company			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT			
14				
15	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE	Case No. BS 006912 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING INSURANCE COMMISSIONER'S APPLICATION FOR ORDER: (1) APPROVING FINAL ACCOUNTING; (2) APPROVING		
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,			
17	Applicant,			
18	V.			
19	EXECUTIVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation, and	CLOSING BUDGET; (3) APPROVING DOCUMENT DESTRUCTION PLAN;		
20	DOES 1 through 1000, inclusive,	(4) APPROVING FINAL DISTRIBUTION PLAN; (5) DISSOLVING EXECUTIVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND DISCHARGING THE COMMISSIONER AFTER FILING OF A DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE; AND (6) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DISMISSING AND TERMINATING PROCEEDINGS		
21	Respondents.			
22				
23				
24	e e			
25		Date: November 21, 2019		
26		Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: 72		
27		Judge: Hon. Ruth A. Kwan RES ID: 752831062496		
28				

The Application of the Insurance Commissioner as Liquidator of the Executive Life Insurance Company ("Executive Life," "Executive Life Estate" or "Estate") For Order:

(1) Approving Final Accounting; (2) Approving Closing Budget; (3) Approving Document Destruction Plan; (4) Approving Final Distribution Plan; (5) Dissolving Executive Life Insurance Company and Discharging the Commissioner After Filing of A Declaration of Compliance; and (6) Establishing Procedures for Dismissing and Terminating Proceedings ("Application") was heard before this Court on November 21, 2019. Cynthia Larsen of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP and Karen Ho of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP appeared on behalf of the Commissioner. Any other appearances were as noted in the record.

The Court, having reviewed and considered the Application and the Points and Authorities and the Declarations and supporting documents in support thereof, and having heard arguments of counsel appearing at the hearing, and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefor, the Court determines and orders that the Commissioner's Application is granted in all respects as follows:

1. The Commissioner has provided proper and adequate notice of the Application and of the hearing date and time on the Application to all interested parties and to those who have requested notice herein. Such notice is fair, reasonable and sufficient, and no other or further notice is necessary or required of the Application, the hearing thereon, or to carry out the provisions of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein. No timely or proper objections to the Application have been received and, if any exist, consistent with the requirements of the Notice of Application they are waived and released by this Order. In addition, consistent with the Notice of Application and Application, any and all claims, demands, complaints and objections, however and whenever arising, concerning the administration of the Estate, the payment of costs of administration, the resolution of claims and demands, and all litigations and claims, known or unknown, that have or could be brought against the Estate, the Commissioner, its Conservation and Liquidation office, and their agents and attorneys, are fully and finally resolved and/or released, except as otherwise provided in this Order including with respect to the pending Order to Show Cause proceeding identified in paragraph 6 of this Order.

¹ Capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Application.

- 2. The Commissioner possesses broad discretionary powers in connection with the conservation, rehabilitation and liquidation of insolvent insurers, which may only be disturbed if the Commissioner's actions are arbitrary and capricious or improperly discriminatory. See In re Executive Life Ins. Co., 32 Cal. App. 4th 344, 358-59 (1995); see also Carpenter v. Pacific Mutual Life Ins. Co., 10 Cal. 2d 307 (1938). The relief sought by the Commissioner in the Application is fully within the Commissioner's discretion and consistent with governing law and the prior Orders of the Court.
- 3. The Final Accounting of the Commissioner for the Executive Life Estate for the period January 1, 1997 to July 31, 2019, attached to the Declaration of Raymond Minehan as Exhibit A, is hereby settled and approved and all matters therein, including all administrative fees and expenses incurred by the Commissioner and not previously approved pursuant to Orders entered by the Court, are hereby approved, and there may be no further objections to the foregoing Final Accounting, the matters therein, or the administrative fees and expenses incurred by the Commissioner with respect to the Executive Life Estate.
- 4. The Commissioner's Closing Budget for the Executive Life Estate attached as Exhibit B to the Declaration of Raymond Minehan, which includes incurred but unpaid expenses and anticipated future expenses of the CLO, its outside attorneys, consultants and other third-party service providers, is approved. The Court finds that the Closing Budget reflects a reasonable estimate of the incurred but unpaid and future expenses for closing the Estate including, without limitation, legal services, tax compliance, accounting services, statutory estate audit fees, and document storage and destruction. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to retain the full amount of the Closing Budget for such incurred and future expenses, and the Commissioner is authorized to expend funds pursuant thereto without further order of the Court. To the extent the Closing Budget is subsequently determined to be inadequate to defray the Commissioner's future expenses of administration, the Commissioner is authorized to pay any excess expenses of administration out of the funds appropriated by the Legislature for the maintenance of the Department of Insurance, as authorized by Insurance Code section 1035. If the Closing Budget is not fully utilized for the closing of Executive Life, the excess funds not

24

25

26

27

28

utilized for Closing Expenses shall be deposited to the California Department of Insurance expense fund.

- 5. The Commissioner is authorized to destroy all records of Executive Life and the Executive Life Estate commencing two years after the date of this Order, except that financial records necessary for tax purposes, or for examination by the Department of Finance or other auditors pursuant to Insurance Code section 1061, shall be retained by the Commissioner for three years after the date of the Order and shall be destroyed thereafter.
- 6. Excluding the amount set forth in the Closing Budget, which is to be retained by the Commissioner, the Commissioner is authorized to make a final distribution of all of the residual assets of the Executive Life Estate. The net estimated assets available for distribution are estimated to be \$80,266,930, however, the Commissioner is authorized to make such modifications to the net assets available for distribution as he deems appropriate by reason of accounting adjustments, true-ups of expected tax recoveries and investment returns, and the resolution of the pending Order to Show Cause proceeding brought by National Structured Settlements Trade Association, et al.² Consistent with the Rehabilitation and Enhancement Agreements, the relative percentage shares of the Opt-In and Opt-Out contract holders in the foregoing distribution shall be as in prior distributions, i.e., 66.1 percent for the Opt-In policyholders and 33.9 percent for the Opt-Out Trust. Due to the costs required to make distributions to contract holders or their subrogees with balances of less than \$2.00, the Commissioner is excused from making such de minimis distributions and is authorized to retain such amounts for use by the CLO in performing activities required to close the Executive Life Estate. The Commissioner is authorized to escheat unclaimed property and funds as permitted by applicable law.
- 7. The corporation or other business entity known as Executive Life Insurance
 Company, the Executive Life Insurance Company Estate, and/or Executive Life Insurance
 Company in Conservation and Liquidation shall be and hereby is ordered DISSOLVED pursuant

² The liability asserted against the Estate in the referenced Order to Show Cause proceeding has not been finally determined by the Court as of the date of this Order, and thus it is not resolved or released by this Order.

27

28

111

111

1	11. The Commissioner is authorized to take any action necessary to accomplish the			
2	activities and items approved herein and to fulfill the purposes of this Order.			
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
4	Dated:12/04/2019			
5	Ruth Ann Kwan			
6	HONORABLE RUTH A. KWAN			
7	Submitted by: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Ruth Ann Kwan / Judge			
8	KAREN Ho (State Bar No. 274027)			
9	ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP LLP 9401 Wilshire Boulevard, Ninth Floor			
10	Beverly Hills, California 90212-2974 Telephone: (310) 273-6333			
11	Facsimile: (310) 859-2325 Email: kho@ecjlaw.com			
12	CYNTHIA J. LARSEN (State Bar No. 123994)			
13	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000			
14	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 447-9200			
15	Facsimile: (916) 329-4900 Email: clarsen@orrick.com			
16				
17	By: Cynthia J. Larsen			
18	Attorneys for Ricardo Lara, Insurance Commissioner of the State of California in his Capacity as Rehabilitator/			
19	Liquidator of Executive Life Insurance Company			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
- 1	1			

EXHIBIT A

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address): Cynthia J. Larsen (SBN 123994) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95814 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): California Insurance Commissioner	TELEPHONE NO.: (916) 447-9200	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
Insert name of court and name of judicial district and branch court, if any:					
Superior Court of the State of California, County of					
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Ricardo Lara, Insurance Commission State of California					
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Executive Life Insurance Comp	any, et al.				
REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL Personal Injury, Property Damage, or Wrongful Death Motor Vehicle Dismission Family Law Eminent Domain Other (specify): Conservation & Liquidation Process	edings	BS 006912			
A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk unless a method of return is provided with the document. —					
TO THE CLERK: Please dismiss this action as follows: a. (1) With prejudice (2) Without prejudice					
b. (1) Complaint (2) Petition (3) Cross-complaint filed by (name): (4) Cross-complaint filed by (name): (5) Lentire action of all parties and all causes of action (6) Other (specify):*		on <i>(date)</i> : on <i>(date)</i> :			
Date:, 2019					
Cynthia J. Larsen (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY) * If dismissal requested is of specified parties only, of specified causes of action only, or of specified cross-complaints only, so state and identify the parties, causes of action, or cross-complaints to be dismissed.	Attorney or party Plaintiff/Pet Cross-comp				
2. TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissal is hereby giver	n **				
Date:					
TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY) If a cross-complaint—or Response (Family Law) seeking affirmative relief—is on file, the attorney for cross-complainant (respondent) must sign this consent if required by Code of Civil Procedure section 581(i) or (j).	Attorney or party Plaintiff/Pet Cross-comp				
(To be completed by clerk)					
3. Dismissal entered as requested on <i>(date)</i> :					
4. Dismissal entered on (date): as to only (name): Dismissal not entered as requested for the following reasons (specify):					
 a. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date): b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified. Filing party failed to provide a copy to conform means to return conformed copy 					
Date:	Clerk, by	, Deputy			